





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/206,216	12/05/1998	JEAN-PIERRE DATH	F-721	5195
25264	7590 02/12/2003			
FINA TECHNOLOGY INC			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 674412 HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412			NGUYEN, TAM M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	26
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/206,216	DATH ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the filed in the control of the con	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	• •				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·	,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,7-10,12-14,16-20,24 and 27-36</u>	<b>)</b> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**



Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claim 37 contains limitations that would require further consideration and/or search because adding limitation of claim 16 to claim 1 (now claim 37) raises new issues concerning other claims dependent on claim 37. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered. It is reminded that the phone interview on December 9, 2002 was conducted between the examiner and Mr. Wiilliam Jackson and the examiner agreed to reconsider upon the filing of a response. The examiner had not agree that the examine will enter the amendment.

Glenn Caldarola
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700